

आयकर अपीलीय अधिकरण, दिल्ली न्यायपीठ “जी”, दिल्ली में  
IN THE INCOME TAX APPELLATE TRIBUNAL  
DELHI BENCH ‘G’, NEW DELHI

सुश्री सुषमा चावला, न्यायिक सदस्य एवं श्री एन. के. बिलैया, लेखा सदस्य के समक्ष

BEFORE MS. SUSHMA CHOWLA, JUDICIAL MEMBER &  
Sh. N.K. BILLAIYA, ACCOUNTANT MEMBER

आयकर अपील सं. / ITA Nos: 7605 & 7606/Del/2017  
निर्धारण वर्ष / Assessment Years: 2012-13 & 2014-15

Rathi Super Steel Limited,  
207, Vardhman Mayur Market CSC,  
Mayur Vihar, Phase-III,  
Kondli Gharoli,  
Delhi-110096  
PAN-AAACR0182M

.....अपीलार्थी/Appellant

vs

ACIT,  
Circle-21(1),  
New Delhi-

..... प्रत्यर्थी / Respondent

अपीलार्थी की ओर से / Appellant by : Sh. Himanshu Agarwal, AR  
प्रत्यर्थी की ओर से / Respondent by : Sh. S.S. Rana CIT-DR

सुनवाई की तारीख / Date of Hearing: 19.12.2019	घोषणा की तारीख / Date of Pronouncement: 20.12.2019
--	---

**आदेश / ORDER**

**PER SUSHMA CHOWLA, JM:**

These two appeals filed by assessee are against order of CIT(A)-38, New Delhi, dated 18/09/2017 and 26/10/2017 relating to assessment years 2012-13 and 2014-15.

2. In both appeals, the assessee is aggrieved by the order of CIT(A) for deciding the appeals *ex-parte* qua the assessee and without going into the merits of the addition.

2. In this appeal, the assessee is aggrieved by the order of CIT(A) for deciding the appeal *ex-parte* qua the assessee and without going into the merits of the addition.

3. The learned AR for the assessee pointed out that the CIT(A) has passed the *ex-parte* order without allowing sufficient opportunity of hearing and without going into the merits of the case.

4. The learned DR for the Revenue on the other hand pointed out that sufficient opportunity has been afforded to the assessee.

5. We have heard the rival contentions and perused the record. Under the provisions of Section 250(6) of the Income tax Act, 1961 (in short "Act"), it is incumbent upon the CIT(A) to decide these appeals after hearing the parties and state the points for determination, the decision thereon and also the reasons for the decision. While deciding the appeals, CIT(A) has no power to dismiss these appeals for non prosecution by relying on the ratio/s laid down in CIT vs. B.N. Bhattacharya & Another 118 ITR 461 (SC) and Late Tukoji Rao Holker vs. CWT 223 ITR 480 (MP). In these facts and circumstances, where the CIT(A) had dismissed these appeals by applying the above said ratios, the order of the learned CIT(A) suffers from infirmity.

The CIT(A) while deciding the issue on merits have also to give reasons for coming to the conclusion and in the absence of the same, the order of the CIT(A) again suffers from infirmity. In these appeals, we find that the CIT(A) has dismissed the appeals *ex-parte* qua the assessee and had failed to decide the appeals by passing reasoned assessment order.

6. Accordingly, we set aside the matter back to the file of the CIT(A) with direction to the CIT(A) to decide the issue on merits by a reasoned order, after affording reasonable opportunity of hearing to the assessee. Further the assessee is also directed to appear before the CIT(A) and participate in the appellate proceedings. These appeals are thus decided on this preliminary issue without going into the merits of the addition.

7. Hence, both appeals are restored back to the file of CIT(A) to decide the issue on merits after affording reasonable opportunity of hearing to the assessee. The assessee is also directed to comply with notices issued by the CIT(A). The preliminary issue raised in these appeals is thus decided in favour of assessee. Since these appeals are being decided on the preliminary issue, we are not addressing the issue raised on merit.

8. In the result, both appeals of the assessee are allowed.

Order pronounced in the open court on 20<sup>th</sup> day of December, 2019.

**Sd/-**

**(N. K. BILLAIYA)**

**लेखा सदस्य/ACCOUNTANT MEMBER**

**दिल्ली / दिनांक Dated : 20<sup>th</sup> December, 2019.**

**Sd/-**

**(SUSHMA CHOWLA)**

**न्यायिक सदस्य/JUDICIAL MEMBER**

S. Shekhar, Sr. P.S.

आदेश की प्रतिलिपि अग्रेषित/Copy of the Order is forwarded to :

1. अपीलार्थी / The Appellant;
2. प्रत्यर्थी / The Respondent;
3. आयकर आयुक्त(अपील) / The CIT(A)
4. विभागीय प्रतिनिधि, आयकर अपीलीय अधिकरण, दिल्ली / DR, ITAT, Delhi
5. गार्ड फाईल / Guard file.

आदेशानुसार/ BY ORDER,

सहायक रजिस्ट्रार/Assistant Registrar,  
आयकर अपीलीय अधिकरण ,दिल्ली / ITAT, Delhi